

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/897,576             | 07/02/2001                   | Richard J. Markle    | 2000.071100 1249    |                  |  |
| 23720                  | 7590 01/16/2004              |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|                        | S, MORGAN & AME              | NGUYEN, SANG H       |                     |                  |  |
| 10333 RICH<br>HOUSTON, | MOND, SUITE 1100<br>TX 77042 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                        |                              |                      | 2877                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Аррисац  | OH NO.   | Applicant(s)   |   |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Office Action Summary                        |   | ₫£°89°.5   | <b>/</b> 6   | MARKLE ET AL   |   |
|  |   | Examine  | r  | Art Unit   |   |
|  |   | Sang Hill  | guşen  | 2877   |   |
| Period f                                     | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply   | nication appears on the  | e cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  | _ |
| THE - Extended - First - Minimal - Any - com | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>endough of time may be a valoble under the providing<br>of the 16) MONThis from the nating of the of the com-<br>e period for reply open field above the maximum of<br>the to reply a from the set of extended period from opti-<br>dually received by the Children than three months is<br>edipated, termical patients. See 37 Children 1704 pa  | CATION Left 24 (1996) in the new and nation Substitution to degree a repty within the state to but years will apply and w Left 30 years will to but years will be upon   | est induceser in ay alreafy be too<br>aton, into mean of thirty (30) days<br>release to 200, MCA, THS from<br>I dation to be come ASANDONE!  | ely filed  Uwill be considered timely  the mailing date of this communication  D (03 U 8 0 M 130)                                  |   |
| Status                                       |   |  |  |  |   |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) fac-   | ad on <u>08 November 2</u>   | <u>00</u> 3  |  |   |
| 2a) <u></u>                                  | This action is FINAL.   | 2b)( This action is ne   | on-final.  |  |   |
| 3)[_   | Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the brack  |  |  |  |   |
| Disposit                                     | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |   |
| 5)[]<br>6)[]<br>7)[]                         | Craim(s): 1-72 is/are pending in the claim(s): 1-72 is/are pending in the claim(s): 1-8/a Claim(s): 1-72 is/are allowed: Claim(s): 1-72 is/are rejected: Claim(s): 1-8/are objected to: Claim(s): 1-8/are subject to restrict   | are withdrawn from co  |  |  |   |
| Applicat                                     | ion Papers  |  |  |  |   |
| 9)[]   | The specification is objected to by th  | e Examiner   |  |  |   |
|  | The drawing(s) filed on is are  |  |  | Examiner.  |   |
|  | Applicant may not reduced that any caje   |  |  |  |   |
|  | Replacement crashing sheet(s) including   | githe correction is requir   | ed if the drawing(s) is obj  | ected to: See 37 CFR 1 121(d)  |   |
| 11)  | The path or declaration is objected to  | o by the Examiner, No  | ote the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |   |
| Priority (                                   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |   |
| a)<br>13) <u> </u>                           | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some 1 c) None of:  1.1.1 Certified copies of the priority 2.1.1 Certified copies of the priority 3.1.1 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim to fince a specific reference was include 7 CFR 1.78.  (i) [1] The translation of the foreign far Acknowledgment is alique or a claim to efference was included in the first sent efference was included in the first sent efference was included. | documents have peed documents have beed of the priority documents have beed of the priority documents of the certical differences of domestic priority and an agree provisional and domestic priority and or domestic priority and an agree provisional and the first sentences. | In received. In received in Application ants have been received in Application 17.2(a)) fied copies not received inder 35 U S.C. § 119(e) Inder 35 U S.C. § 120 Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on Nodin this National Stage  d () (to a provisional application) (in an Application Data Sheet, eived and/or 121 since a specific |   |
| Attachmen                                    | t(s)  |  |  |  |   |
| N L.J. Notes                                 | re of References CharlipPT1 (552)<br>re of Draftsporson's Hatent Drawing Rediev. 12<br>motion Disclopare Statemence, 1770-1449, F.  |  | 4. [7] Interview Sun mary .<br>5 frot celof informal Pa<br>6 Other   | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |   |
|  |   |  |  | # 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |   |

Application Control Number: 09 897,576

Art Unit: 2877

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Response to Amendment

1. The present Office action is made in response to Amendments filed on 11 08 03 have been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 192 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be empled to a nateat in essi-

the the invention was parented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or as sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 52-53 and 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jost et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,686,747).

(See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated July 10, 2003).

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Jun et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,366,688).

(See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated July 10, 2003).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - tar A patent may not be commind though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title. If the differences between the subject matter scrapht to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a who e would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skall in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be regatived by the mainter in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jost et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,686,747).

(See the reasons as indicated in the previous office action dated July 10, 2003).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11 08 03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that Jost et al (5.686,747) and or Jun et al (6.366,688) do and or does not disclosed the test structure comprising a plurality of the lines and a plurality of contact openings defined in the lines and light reflected from the contact openings is measured to determining a dimension of the contact openings based on the measure reflection profile.

This argument is not persuasive. Applicant does not proved the different structures and purposes between the test structure and the semiconductor wafer fragment (10 of figure 1) as disclosed in the Jost et al reference, and or the detection or inspection device for contact hole openings on the semiconductor wafer (110 of figure 1, or figures 15 and 17-18) Jun et al reference, since all the features recited in the test structure and the semiconductor wafer fragment and or the detection or inspection device for contact hole openings on the semiconductor wafer have the same results for forming and testing, patterned line with contact openings on the wafer. Even if the test structure is different from the semiconductor wafer fragment and or the detection or inspection device for contact hole openings on the semiconductor wafer, then it is considered

in view obvious, because the term "the test structure" is recited in the preamble but not in the body of claims.

In response to applicant's argument that, page 20, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

As stated in the previous office action. Jost et al discloses a test structure considered to be a senticonductor water fragment (10 of figure 1) comprising a plurality of lines (12.14.16 of figures 1-2) formed on a silicon semiconductor substrate (11 of figure 2) and a plurality of contact openings (32.34 of figure 2) defined in the lines (12.14 of figure 2), wherein the plurality of lines (12.14.16 of figure 2) being defining in a first layer is an insulative layer and the insulative layer comprises at least one of silicon dioxide, silicon muride, silicon oxynitride, and silicon rich oxide (col.3 (ines 43-51)).

As stated in the previous office action, Jun et al discloses a wafer (110 of figure 1) having a test structure (figures 15 and 17-18) comprising a planality of contact openings is considered to be contact holes (153 of figures 19-20) defined in a horizontal and vertical mesh lines (150,152 of figures 19-20) and determining a dimension of the contact opening (153 of figure 19 of figure 4

Application Control Number: 09 897.576

Art Unit: 2877

and col.2 lines 37-49 and col.3 lines 10-32) based on the reflection profile (col.3 lines 54-67 and col.4 lines 1-9). See figures 1-32.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Sang Nguyen whose telephone number (703)308-6426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Frank Font, can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

8N

Nguyen sn

Jul 16, 2003

Frank I Fort

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Examine Art Unit 2877 — Technology Center 2800